

REMARKS

In the outstanding Official Action, independent claims 1 and 7, as well as claims 2 and 9, were rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Naden, with dependent claims 3 and 5 being rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naden and further in view of Nakatsuka, all for the reasons of record. In response, independent claims 1 and 7 are herewith amended in order to more particularly and precisely recite the novel and unobvious features of the instant invention, and it is respectfully submitted that these independent claims, as well as the remaining claims depending therefrom, are now clearly patentably distinguishable over the cited and applied references for the reasons detailed below.

More particularly, with specific reference to independent claim 1, it is now more specifically recited that the system conveys a further wireless communication over an internal network if the internal network is accessible to both parties, with method claim 7 being similarly amended. As clearly shown and described in the instant application, a wireless communication connection is made over a company's internal network so that access can be gained to proprietary internal information by those authorized to have such information, while preventing access to the internal network

by outsiders such as visitors who are not authorized to access the internal network.

In Naden, on the contrary, what is clearly shown and described in the cited and applied portions of the references is a substantially different system and method, wherein a hard-wired wireline connection (18) is made to the internet (ie an external network). Thus, it is respectfully submitted that Naden is directed to a substantially different concept, namely allowing collaboration commonly known as "white boarding" among all attendees at a presentation or conference, as opposed to the instant invention, wherein wireless communication is established over an internal network if such internal network is accessible to both parties, thus distinguishing between different classes of attendees (e.g. employees and visitors).

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that independent claims 1 and 7, as herewith amended, and the remaining claims depending therefrom, are now clearly patentably distinguishable over the cited and applied references.

Accordingly, allowance of the instant application is respectfully submitted to be justified at the present time, and favorable consideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By   
Steven R. Biren, Reg. No. 26,531  
(914) 333-9630